## Voting Reform Simulations - 2024

## Same Voters - Different Winners <br> Changing Incentives for Candidates and Elected Officials

## Broken System

According to Reuters（January 2024）：
－70\％of Americans don＇t believe Joe Biden should be running for president
－ $56 \%$ of Americans don＇t believe Donald Trump should be running for president
－A majority of Americans（52\％）are not satisfied with the two－party system and want a third choice．
In our recent survey（weighted for national levels of party affiliation）：
－ $69 \%$ or more of our participants preferred all six＂alternative＂presidential tickets presented over Trump／TBD
－52\％of our participants preferred two＂alternative＂presidential tickets presented（Jaime Dimon／Gina Raimondo and Bill Gates／Liz Cheney）over Biden／Harris
－ $19 \%$ said none of our alternatives were desirable
A system giving us these choices and feeding the flames of division is broken

This presentation is about reforms with momentum to fix this problem

## Purpose, Stipulations, and Weighting

## Purpose

- Illustrate how results vary based on election system - explore implications
- Same set of voters, same preferences, different types of winners
- Educate on reforms being discussed
- Get pulse on reform support/interest

Stipulations

- Not a projection of 2024 - participants skew moderate
- But, population still generates diverse outcomes
- Survey preceded Hogan and Manchin announcements - Including Hogan results as proxy for No Labels "Unity Ticket" potential

Weighting

- To reflect national party affiliation (per Gallup, January 2024)

Republicans - 25\%
Democrats - 27\%
Independents - 45\%

- Randomly duplicated sufficient (R) and (I) "ballots"
- Total surveys completed - 297
- Total "votes" in general election simulation - 435

Republican primary - 189
Democrat primary - 185

## Survey Content Review

## Election Reform Simulations

- 14 hypothetical candidates for President
- Announced viable candidates (including Independents)
- High-profile figures most named in earlier survey
- Asked participants
- Rank all 14 - As utilized in certain reforms
- Separately, indicate "approval" or "disapproval" of each


## Candidates

```
Joe Biden (D) Amy Klobuchar (D)
Donald Trump (R) Gavin Newsom (D)
Ron DeSantis (R) Glenn Youngkin (R)
Gretchen Whitmer (D) Larry Hogan (R/I)
Robert Kennedy, Jr. (I) Joe Manchin (D/I)
Nikki Haley (R)
Michelle Obama (D)
```


## Voter Psychology on Independent Candidates

- 7 real and hypothetical alternative tickets
- Including some non-politicians named in earlier survey
- Asked participants to indicate preference vs. front-runners and willingness to support in general election

John Huntsman/Joe Manchin Bill Gates/Liz Cheney
Oprah Winfrey/Mitt Romney Jamie Dimon/Gina Raimondo

Robert Kennedy Jr./TBD
Cornel West/TBD Jill Stein/TBD

## Party Affiliation

## Response Overview

- 297 responses from 33 states
- Submitted January 3 - February 18
- Non-scientific - email and social media distribution; requested broad forwarding
- Majority of submissions from four states - New York, Missouri, Illinois and California
- Republicans under-represented
- Right-wing further under-represented

| Republican | 48 | $16.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Democrat | 121 | $40.7 \%$ |
| Independent | 120 | $40.4 \%$ |
| Other Party | 3 | $1.0 \%$ |
| No Answer | 5 | $1.7 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Total | 297 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |


| Republican 1st Choice Votes |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Haley | 24 | $8.1 \%$ |
| Trump | 8 | $2.7 \%$ |
| DeSantis | 7 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Youngkin | 4 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Kennedy | 2 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Biden | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Hogan | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Manchin | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Total | 48 | $16.2 \%$ |

## Simulation 1 - Current Method

With this group of voters, 2024 election looks like this:

| Democrat Primary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Votes }}{}$ | $\underline{\%}$ |  |  |  |
| Biden | 81 | $44 \%$ |  |  |  |
| M.Obama | 35 | $19 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Whitmer | 31 | $17 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Klobuchar | 26 | $14 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Newsom | 12 | $6 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  | 185 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| General Election |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Votes }}{}$ | $\underline{\%}$ |
| Biden | 168 | $39 \%$ |
| Haley | 157 | $36 \%$ |
| Hogan | 47 | $11 \%$ |
| Kennedy | 32 | $7 \%$ |
| West | 16 | $4 \%$ |
| Stein | 15 | $3 \%$ |
|  | 435 |  |
|  |  |  |

Republican Primary

|  | $\frac{\text { Votes }}{}$ | $\underline{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Haley | 112 | $59 \%$ |
| Trump | 38 | $20 \%$ |
| DeSantis | 12 | $6 \%$ |
| Youngkin | 27 | $14 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

- Winner does not achieve a majority
- Popular vote plurality may not decide election (Electoral College effect)
- Potential for election thrown to House*
*Per the $12^{\text {th }}$ amendment, if no candidate receives a majority of electoral college votes, the election is decided in the House of Representatives in a vote wherein which each state's delegation has a single vote.


## Reform "Whys" and Principles

## Why's - Issues with Status Quo

- In current system, most-partisan 10-20\% of voters decide elections
- Candidates and officials motivated only to satisfy their demands
- As a result, no real action on biggest issues facing the nation


## Principles Guiding Reform

- All voters should be able to participate in any election paid for by taxpayers
- Voters can support most preferred candidate without "wasting" their vote or risking a "spoiler" effect
- There should be competition and real choices in every general election

Breaking the partisan gridlock

## Key Reform Techniques Simulated

## - Voting and Counting Systems

- Ranked-Choice Voting (RCV, also called "Automatic Run-off")
- Voter ranks all candidates on the ballot (first-choice, second-choice, third-choice...)
- Ballots are processed in rounds
- Round 1 - First-choice votes counted, if a candidate's $1^{\text {st }}$ choice votes $>50 \%$ of total, they win
- If no candidate has $>50 \%$, last place finisher in Round 1 is eliminated, and another round ensues
- Round 2 - Second choice on ballots with Round 1 loser as $1^{\text {st }}$ choice now become the first choice for that ballot
- Process continues until a candidate achieves a majority of total votes
- Approval Voting
- Voters signify approval or disapproval for candidates on the ballot
- Candidate(s) receiving highest number of "approval" votes win
- Condorcet Voting
- Voter ranks all candidates as in RCV
- Ballots processed by running head-to-head contests for all combinations of two candidates
- Candidate who wins most head-to-head contests wins
- Non-partisan Primaries
- All candidates run in a single primary - top 2-5 vote-getters go to general election
- Creates incentive for all voters to participate, eliminating scenarios where $<15 \%$ of voters effectively decide elections
- Parties could choose to endorse only one candidate - that process would be their own, not paid for by taxpayers


## Simulation 1 －General Election Reform Alternatives

|  | Ranked－Choice General Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Haley | Biden | Hogan | Kennedy | West | Stein |
| Round |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 157 | 168 | 47 | 32 | 16 | 15 |
|  | 36．1\％ | 38．6\％ | 10．8\％ | 7．4\％ | 3．7\％ | 3．4\％ |
| 2 | 158 | 172 | 51 | 35 | 19 |  |
|  | 36．3\％ | 39．5\％ | 11．7\％ | 8．0\％ | 4．4\％ |  |
| 3 | 167 | 174 | 53 | 41 |  |  |
|  | 38．4\％ | 40．0\％ | 12\％ | 9．4\％ |  |  |
| 4 | 190 | 180 | 65 |  |  |  |
|  | 43．7\％ | 41．4\％ | 14．9\％ |  |  |  |
| 5 | 227 | 208 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 52．2\％ | 47．8\％ |  |  |  |  |

Approval Voting General Election

| Haley | 221 | $51 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Biden | 212 | $49 \%$ |
| Hogan | 144 | $33 \%$ |
| Kennedy | 73 | $17 \%$ |
| Stein | 42 | $10 \%$ |
| West | 37 | $9 \%$ |

All three reforms generate different winner than traditional system

| Condorcet Voting（Head－to－Heads） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vs． | Haley Wins | Biden Wins | Hogan Wins | Kennedy Wins | West Wins |  |
| Biden | $52.2 \%-47.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hogan | $59.1 \%-40.9 \%$ | $53.3 \%-46.7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Kennedy | $80.5 \%-19.5 \%$ | $64.1 \%-35.9 \%$ | $68.7 \%-31.3 \%$ |  |  |  |
| West | $72.6 \%-27.4 \%$ | $71.7 \%-28.3 \%$ | $69.7 \%-30.3 \%$ | $51.0 \%-49.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Stein | $77.2 \%-22.8 \%$ | $72.4 \%-27.6 \%$ | $70.3 \%-29.7 \%$ | $50.3 \%-49.7 \%$ | $50.6 \%-49.4 \%$ |  |

## Simulation 2 - Partisan "Approval" Primaries with General Election Alternatives



Ranked-Choice General Election

| Haley | Klobuchar | Hogan Kennedy | West | Stein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Round |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 157 | 177 | 42 | 32 | 16 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $36.1 \%$ | $40.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
|  | 158 | 182 | 44 | 35 | 16 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $36.3 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |  |
|  | 166 | 186 | 45 | 38 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $38.2 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ |  |  |
|  | 188 | 194 | 53 |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $43.2 \%$ | $44.6 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  | 218 | 217 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $50.1 \%$ | $49.9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Condorcet Voting (Head-to-Heads) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vs. | Haley | Klobuchar | Hogan | Kennedy | West |  |
| Klobuchar | $50.1 \%-49.9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hogan | $59.1 \%-40.9 \%$ | $61.8 \%-38.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Kennedy | $80.5 \%=19.5 \%$ | $70.1 \%-29.9 \%$ | $68.7 \%-31.3 \%$ |  |  |  |
| West | $72.6 \%-27.4 \%$ | $82.3 \%-17.7 \%$ | $69.7 \%-30.3 \%$ | $51.0 \%-49.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Stein | $77.2 \%-22.8 \%$ | $82.1 \%-17.9 \%$ | $70.3 \%-29.7 \%$ | $50.3 \%-49.7 \%$ | $50.6 \%-49.4 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Non-Partisan Primaries - Equal Voices, Better Choices

## General Election Options by Primary System



Partisan primaries advancing only one candidate drive polarization (small turnout of most entrenched partisans).
Non-partisan primaries allows all potential candidates a path to general election and requires candidates (and elected officials) to appeal broadly.

Partisan Approval Voting System


| Non-Partisan Primary <br> Vote for One |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Votes |  |  |
| Biden | 86 | $19.8 \%$ |
| Haley | 86 | $19.8 \%$ |
| M.Obama | 50 | $11.5 \%$ |
| Trump | 40 | $9.2 \%$ |
| Klobuchar | 36 | $8.3 \%$ |
| Whitmer | 33 | $7.6 \%$ |
| Hogan | 25 | $5.7 \%$ |
| DeSantis | 17 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Newsom | 16 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Kennedy | 14 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Youngkin | 13 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Manchin | 8 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Stein | 6 | $1.4 \%$ |
| West | 5 | $1.1 \%$ |


| Non-Partisan Primary <br> Approval Voting |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{\text { Votes }}$ | $\underline{\%}$ |
| Klobuchar | 248 | $57.0 \%$ |
| Haley | 221 | $50.8 \%$ |
| Whitmer | 221 | $50.8 \%$ |
| Biden | 212 | $48.7 \%$ |
| M.Obama | 187 | $43.0 \%$ |
| Manchin | 176 | $40.5 \%$ |
| Newsom | 169 | $38.9 \%$ |
| Youngkin | 122 | $28.0 \%$ |
| Hogan | 144 | $33.1 \%$ |
| DeSantis | 118 | $27.1 \%$ |
| Kennedy | 73 | $16.8 \%$ |
| Trump | 66 | $15.2 \%$ |
| Stein | 42 | $9.7 \%$ |
| West | 37 | $8.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

## Simulation 3 －Vote－for－One Non－partisan Primary（Top 5）

| Non－Partisan Primary <br> Vote for One |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Votes <br> Biden |  |  |
| Ha | $19.8 \%$ |  |
| Haley | 86 | $19.8 \%$ |
| M．Obama | 50 | $11.5 \%$ |
| Trump | 40 | $9.2 \%$ |
| Klobuchar | 36 | $8.3 \%$ |
| Whitmer | 33 | $7.6 \%$ |
| Hogan | 25 | $5.7 \%$ |
| DeSantis | 17 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Newsom | 16 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Kennedy | 14 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Youngkin | 13 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Manchin | 8 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Stein | 6 | $1.4 \%$ |
| West | 5 | $1.1 \%$ |
|  | 435 | $100.0 \%$ |

Ranked－Choice General Election＊

| Round | Haley | Biden | Klobuchar | M．Obama | Trump |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 133 | 110 | 65 | 65 | 62 |
|  | 30．6\％ | 25．3\％ | 14．9\％ | 14．9\％ | 14．3\％ |
| 2 | 192 | 110 | 67 | 66 |  |
|  | 44．1\％ | 25．3\％ | 15．4\％ | 15．2\％ |  |
| 3 | 209 | 130 | 96 |  |  |
|  | 48．0\％ | 29．9\％ | 22．1\％ |  |  |
| 4 | 227 | 208 |  |  |  |
|  | 52．2\％ | 47．8\％ |  |  |  |


| Approval Voting General |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Votes | $\%$ |
| Klobuchar | 248 | $57.0 \%$ |
| Haley | 221 | $50.8 \%$ |
| Biden | 212 | $48.7 \%$ |
| M．Obama | 187 | $43.0 \%$ |
| Trump | 66 | $15.2 \%$ |

＊Vote－for－One outcome same as RCV Round 1

## Simulation 4 －Approval Voting Non－partisan Primary（Top 5）

| Non－Partisan Primary Approval Voting |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Votes | \％ |
| Klobuchar | 248 | 57．0\％ |
| Haley | 221 | 50．8\％ |
| Whitmer | 221 | 50．8\％ |
| Biden | 212 | 48．7\％ |
| M．Obama | 187 | 43．0\％ |
| Manchin | 176 | 40．5\％ |
| Newsom | 169 | 38．9\％ |
| Youngkin | 122 | 28．0\％ |
| Hogan | 144 | 33．1\％ |
| DeSantis | 118 | 27．1\％ |
| Kennedy | 73 | 16．8\％ |
| Trump | 66 | 15．2\％ |
| Stein | 42 | 9．7\％ |
| West | 37 | 8．5\％ |

Ranked－Choice General Election＊

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round | Haley | Biden | Whitmer | M．Obama | Klobuchar |
| 1 | 180 | 97 | 54 | 58 | 46 |
| 1 | 41．4\％ | 22．3\％ | 12．4\％ | 13．3\％ | 10．6\％ |
| 2 | 181 | 111 | 80 | 63 |  |
|  | 41．6\％ | 25．5\％ | 18．4\％ | 14．5\％ |  |
| 3 | 193 | 127 | 115 |  |  |
| 3 | 44．4\％ | 29．2\％ | 26．4\％ |  |  |
| 4 | 227 | 208 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 52．2\％ | 47．8\％ |  |  |  |


| Condorcet Voting（Head－to－Heads） |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vs． | Klobuchar | Haley | Whitmer | Biden |
| Klobuchar |  | $50.1 \%-49.9 \%$ |  |  |
| Haley |  |  | $51.5 \%-48.5 \%$ |  |
| Whitmer | $57.2 \%-42.8 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Biden | $57.5 \%-42.5 \%$ | $52.2 \%-47.8 \%$ | $55.6 \%-44.4 \%$ |  |
| M．Obama | $69.2 \%-30.8 \%$ | $52.9 \%-47.1 \%$ | $68.7 \%-31.3 \%$ | $61.6 \%-38.4 \%$ |
|  | 3－way tie；Klobuchar has most narrow loss |  |  |  |

[^0]
## Simulation 5 －Approval／Disapproval Voting Non－partisan Primary（Top 5）



## Conclusions - Voting Systems

- All the proposed reforms are intended to make candidates and elected officials accountable to the electorate at large
- Current incentives are NOT to achieve "art of the possible" - just grandstand
- A single, non-partisan primary is the most powerful reform for improving the quality of ballot options and increasing primary turn-out
- Voting/counting reforms (RCV, Approval, Condorcet) enhance incentives to serve all citizens
- Given limited experience in the U.S., ideally many different versions are experimented with state by state
- Rabid partisans will object to these reforms opposition from both parties suggests we're on to something

| Primary Method (Finalists) | General Election Voting System (Winners) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Partisan Primaries Vote for One Top 5 | Vote for One | Ranked-Choice | Approval | Condorcet |
| Biden <br> Haley <br> Obama <br> Trump <br> Klobuchar | Biden/Haley Tie | Haley | Klobuchar | Haley |
| Approval Voting - Top 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Klobuchar <br> Haley <br> Whitmer <br> Biden <br> Obama | Klobuchar | Haley | Klobuchar | Klobuchar (tie break) |
| Approval/Disapproval - Top 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Klobuchar <br> Haley <br> Whitmer <br> Manchin <br> Hogan | Haley | Whitmer | Klobuchar | Klobuchar (tie break) |
| Partisan Primaries Vote for One |  |  |  |  |
| Biden <br> Haley <br> Stein <br> Green/Independents <br> Stein <br> Hogan <br> Kennedy <br> West | Biden | Haley | Haley | Haley |
| Approval Voting |  |  |  |  |
| Klobuchar <br> Haley <br> Stein <br> Green/Independents | Klobuchar | Haley | Klobuchar | Haley |
| Ranked-Choice Voting |  |  |  |  |
| Biden <br> Haley <br> Stein <br> Green/Independents | Haley | Haley | Haley | Haley |

## Other Survey Findings

1．Strong support for non－traditional candidates

|  |  |  |  |  | Weighted for National Party | Weighted for National Party Affiliation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Republicans | Democrats | Independents | Other | Affiliation |  |  |  |  |
| Prefer to Trump | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | $\mathrm{N}=116$ | $\mathrm{N}=7$ |  | Huntsman／ | Manchin | 25\％ |  |
| Huntsman／Manchin | 51\％ | 98\％ | 83\％ | 80\％ | 79\％ | Dimon／Rain | ondo | 18\％ |  |
| Dimon／Raimondo | 46\％ | 94\％ | 87\％ | 83\％ | 79\％ | Gates／Chen |  | 17\％ |  |
| Gates／Cheney | 47\％ | 95\％ | 87\％ | 86\％ | 79\％ | Winfrey／Ro | mney | 9\％ |  |
| Winfrey／Romney | 26\％ | 96\％ | 89\％ | 80\％ | 75\％ | Kennedy |  | 7\％ |  |
| Kennedy | 24\％ | 99\％ | 83\％ | 100\％ | 73\％ | Stein |  | 2\％ |  |
| Stein | 11\％ | 98\％ | 89\％ | 83\％ | 72\％ | West |  | 2\％ |  |
| West | 6\％ | 96\％ | 87\％ | 80\％ | 69\％ | None are de | sirable | 19\％ |  |
| Prefer to Biden | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | $\mathrm{N}=116$ | $\mathrm{N}=7$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Huntsman／Manchin | 93\％ | 9\％ | 49\％ | 43\％ | 49\％ |  | Republi | Democrats | Independents |
| Dimon／Raimondo | 85\％ | 22\％ | 52\％ | 50\％ | 52\％ | Favorite | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | $\mathrm{N}=116$ |
| Gates／Cheney | 88\％ | 17\％ | 54\％ | 43\％ | 52\％ | Huntsman／Manchin | 43\％ | 14\％ | 24\％ |
| Winfrey／Romney | 87\％ | 15\％ | 42\％ | 40\％ | 46\％ | Dimon／Raimondo | 19\％ | 23\％ | 14\％ |
| Kennedy | 91\％ | 2\％ | 30\％ | 17\％ | 37\％ | Gates／Cheney | 4\％ | 15\％ | 26\％ |
| Stein | 94\％ | 4\％ | 21\％ | 33\％ | 35\％ | Winfrey／Romney | 2\％ | 14\％ | 10\％ |
| West | 94\％ | 8\％ | 21\％ | 40\％ | 36\％ | Kennedy | 11\％ | 1\％ | 8\％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Stein | 0\％ | 2\％ | 3\％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | West | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | None are desirable | 19\％ | 30\％ | 13\％ |

## Other Survey Findings（cont．）

|  |  |  |  | Weighted for National Party |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Republicans | Democrats | Independents | Affiliation |
| Strategy | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | $\mathrm{N}=99$ |  |
| Would vote for favorite | 35\％ | 4\％ | 17\％ | 18\％ |
| Would not vote for favorite | 16\％ | 35\％ | 18\％ | 23\％ |
| Only if did not help Trump | 16\％ | 60\％ | 56\％ | 47\％ |
| Only if did not help Biden | 32\％ | 1\％ | 9\％ | 12\％ |
| Reform Support | $\mathrm{N}=46$ | $\mathrm{N}=109$ | $\mathrm{N}=113$ |  |
| Ranked Choice | 45\％ | 75\％ | 66\％ | 63\％ |
| Run－offs | 40\％ | 54\％ | 51\％ | 50\％） |
| Approval | 8\％ | 35\％ | 35\％ | 29\％ |
| None | 21\％ | 6\％ | 9\％ | 11\％ |
| Other | 4\％ | 6\％ | 10\％ | 8\％ |

2．＂Spoiler＂concerns likely to stop Democrats and Independents from voting for a $3^{\text {rd }}$ party
3．Majority support for Automatic or actual run－offs（higher support for RCV）
4． $29 \%$ support for Approval Voting despite low awareness
5．Significant minority of Republicans skeptical of all reforms

## Reform Momentum

## Already Implemented

## Ranked Choice Voting

- RCV already in use in 62 jurisdictions across 16 states, including:
- Alaska (GEs - Gov, State Leg, U.S. Senate and House)
- Maine (U.S. House \& Senate GEs and primaries; Statewide offices primaries)
- New York City (in partisan primaries)
- San Francisco, CA, Minneapolis, MN, Cambridge, MA
- In 2020, Democrats in four states used RCV for primaries (AL, HI, KS, WY). In 2021, Virginia Republicans used RCV for statewide office primaries (likely enabling a Youngkin victory)
- Internationally, RCV used in national elections in
- Australia (since 1918)
- Northern Ireland and Rep. of Ireland (since 1921)


## Non-Partisan Primaries

- California (Top 2)
- Washington (Top 2)
- Nebraska (Top 2 - for state legislative offices)
- Alaska (Top 4)
- Louisiana (no primary, but top two run-off if no candidate receives 50\%)


## Upcoming

- Nevada - Final Five system passed in 2022 election. If affirmed in 2024, becomes law
- Montana - Final Four system ballot initiative on 2024 ballot
- Oregon - Statewide RCV ballot initiative on 2024 ballot
- Arizona - Non-Partisan primary (details of 2-5 "winners" to be determined by legislature) and mandatory use of RCV in General Election (if more than 2 on final ballot) 2024 ballot in signature raising phase
- Idaho - Final Four system 2024 ballot initiative in signature raising phase
- South Dakota - Non-partisan, top-two primary 2024 ballot initiative in signature raising phase
- Wisconsin - Legislative efforts to institute Final Five system ongoing
- More than a dozen other efforts to introduce voting reform legislation and DEFEND open primaries and viable ballot initiative hurdles against partisan efforts to rollback reforms already in place

Breaking the partisan gridlock

## Evidence of Reform Efficacy

－Primary turnouts in states with non－partisan primaries
－Switching from a closed，partisan primary system to a non－partisan，top two or four primary increases turnout 16\％（Bi－partisan Policy Center，March 2023）
－Reduced extremism and increased bi－partisanship in legislatures elected using reformed methods
－Top 2 Non－partisan primary linked to election of less extreme legislators（California－ Journal of Political Institutions and Political Economy，2020，1：1－21，Reducing Legislative Polarization，＂Top－Two and Open Primaries Are Associated with More Moderate Legislators，＂Christian R．Grose）
－Final 4 system（Top 4 non－partisan primary followed by RCV general election）linked to bi－partisanship（Alaska－Alaska Beacon，November 25，2022，＂In new bipartisan Alaska Senate majority of 17， members vow compromise and consensus，＂by Yereth Rosen）
－An informative tale－career implications of the Trump impeachment vote

## Election Systems Matter

To understand the importance of election systems, look at the experience of the 20 legislators who stood against their parties on the subject of Trump's impeachment.

- Of the 11 people from traditional states that stood against their party on Trump's impeachment, all who had elections either lost, quit, or changed parties.*
- Of the 8 from states with new voting systems, 7 won and only one lost.**

Standing against one's party in a traditional state was a political death sentence, and in a nontraditional state, it may have actually helped the Candidate.

| States With Traditional Voting Systems |  |  |  | States with New Voting Systems |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Katko | (R) | N.Y. | Quit | Beutler | (R) | Wa. | Lost |
| Meijer | (R) | Mich | Lost | Valadao | (R) | Wa. | Won |
| Upton | (R) | Mich | Quit | Golden | (D) | Me. | Won |
| Kinsinger | (R) | III. | Quit | Newhouse | (R) | Ca. | Won |
| Gonzolez | (R) | Ohio | Quit | Cassidy | (R) | La. | Won |
| Chaney | (R) | Wy | Lost | Collins | (R) | Me. | Won |
| Peterson | (D) | Minn. | Lost | Murkowski | (R) | AK | Won |
| Van Drew | (D-R) | N.J. | Changed Parties | Sasse | (R) | Neb. | Won |
| Rice | (R) | S.C. | Lost |  |  |  |  |
| Toomey | (R) | Pa. | Quit |  |  |  |  |
| Burr | (R) | N.C. | Quit |  |  |  |  |
| Romney | (R) | Ut. | None |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Won |  |  | 0 | Won |  |  | 7 |
| Lost |  |  | 4 | Lost |  |  | 1 |
| Quit |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Changed Pa |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
[^0]:    ＊Vote－for－One outcome same as RCV Round 1

[^1]:    *Romney did not yet have an election and has announced his retirement.
    ** Nebraska only has nonpartisan elections for state offices, but this system makes the state more nonpartisan.

